

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/726,548

Applicant(s)

OKADA ET AL.

Examiner

Geoffrey L. Knable

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.(2) Carl Schaukowitch.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner left a telephone message informing applicant's representative that the 2-18-2004 amendment after final rejection would be entered for purposes of appeal but the prior art rejections would be maintained.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)